

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

2:09-CV-32 JCM (VCF)

VOLVO CONSTRUCTION
EQUIPMENT RENTS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

NRL RENTALS, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Presently before the court is the matter of *Volvo Construction Rents, Inc. et al v. NRL Texas Rentals, LLC et al*, case number 2:09-cv-00032-JCM-VCF.

A bench trial was held from December 3, 2012, through December 6, 2012. The court found in favor of defendants. The court instructed the parties to file a proposed order consistent with the court's findings as stated on the record.

On January 4, 2013, defendants submitted a proposed order with findings of fact and conclusions of law. (Doc. # 647). The same day, plaintiffs filed objections to the proposed order. (Doc. # 648). On January 8, 2013, plaintiffs filed an errata to their objections. (Doc. # 654). Plaintiffs' objections are general in nature. (*See* doc. # 647). Plaintiffs' objections do not state the specific reasons or specific evidence elicited during trial that forms the basis of their objections. (*See id.*). For example, the majority of plaintiffs' factual objections state "there was no evidence in support of these findings." (*See id.*). Additionally, the majority of plaintiffs' objections to the

1 conclusions of law simply state “there was no evidence in support of these conclusions.” (*See id.*).

2 On January 18, 2013, defendants filed a reply in support of their proposed order. (Doc. #
3 655). The reply cites provides the evidence (or lack of evidence) from trial to support each factual
4 finding and conclusion of law objected to by plaintiffs. The court finds that the order accurately
5 comports with its ruling on the record.

6 Accordingly,

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiffs’ objection to the
8 proposed order (doc. # 648) be, and the same hereby, is DENIED.

9 DATED January 31, 2013.

10
11
12 
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**